

Todd Goeks <Todd.Goeks@noaa.gov>

05/08/2007 11:30 AM

Subject Re-tsca determination

To

Sam

These are the polygons that were not correctly denoted as TSCA: sediment SED-06a, SED-09, SED-11; soil PBN-10, PBN-6, PBS-4. All are shown highlighted in yellow outline on the attached figure. Also, please note on the attached spreadsheet the notations for excavation depth. Many of the station locations had only one sample over the entire 24" core. Therefore, as we have no data indicating the actual depth of the TSCA classified material, deeper excavations may be required to attain the 5ppm removal objective. At the polygon surrounding SL) 15-25, the BBL figure notes excavation will extend only to the bottom of the core, which is 65 inches. The sampled interval 48-65" had a pcb concentration of 96 ppm. Based on the protocol we agreed to yesterday, they should plan to extend this excavation to 71" (might as well make it 72 for even 6"). Thanks Sam. Let me know if you have any questions. -Todd ---- Original Message ----From: <Borries.Samuel@epamail.epa.gov> To: "Garbaciak, Steve" < Steve. Garbaciak@arcadis-us.com> Cc: "Bonnie Barnett" <bonnie.barnett@dbr.com>; "Paul Bucholtz" <bucholtp@michigan.gov>; "Cowin, Doug" <Doug.Cowin@arcadis-us.com>; <Furey.E1leen@epamail.epa.gov>; "Smith, Gail A." <GASMITH@GAPAC.com>; <Goeks.Todd@mintra.epa.gov>; <Furey.Eileen@epamail.epa.gov>; "Michael Davis" <JMDAVIS@GAPAC.com>; <kingtw@cdm.com>; "Fortenberry, Chase" <lcforten@gapac.com>; "Tapp, Mark" <Mark.Tapp@Lyondell.com>; "Scoville, Michael " <Michael.Scoville@arcadis-us.com>; "Shivell, Michael" <Michael.Shivell@arcadis-us.com>; "Brown, Mark P." <MPBROWN@GAPAC.com>; "Mellonie Fleming" <MSFlemin@GAPAC.com>; "Montney, Paul" <pamontne@gapac.com>; "Dan Spaulding" <spaulding@seyferthpr.com>; "Steven Cook" <steven.cook@equistarchem.com> Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2007 8:09 AM Subject: RE: tsca determination

```
> All.
> If I am reading the map and legend correctly I count a total of 28
> locations (in the legend box) that are greater than 50 ppm. Should
> there then be 28 polygons highlighted in red? I count 19 polygons
> highlighted in red (including the hatched polygon). I feel like I am
> missing something. Can someone clarify this for me?
> Sam.
>
>
>
>
              "Garbaciak,
>
>
              Steve"
>
              <Steve.Garbacıak
>
              @arcadis-us.com>
                                                                        To
>
                                        "Paul Bucholtz"
>
              05/07/2007 04:45
                                        <bucholtp@michigan.gov>,
>
              PM
                                        <kingtw@cdm.com>, SAMUEL
                                        BORRIES/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, JAMES
                                        SARIC/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
                                        <Goeks.Todd@mintra.epa.gov>,
```



```
Eileen Furey/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
                                                                       CC
                                        "Cowin, Doug"
                                        <Doug.Cowin@arcadis-us.com>,
                                        "Shivell. Michael"
                                        <Michael.Shivell@arcadis-us.com>,
                                        "Scoville, Michael"
                                        <Michael.Scoville@arcadis-us.com>
                                        , "Bonnie Barnett"
                                        <bonnie.barnett@dbr.com>, "Brown,
                                        Mark P. " < MPBROWN@GAPAC.com > ,
                                        "Dan Spaulding"
                                        <spaulding@seyferthpr.com>,
                                        "Fortenberry, Chase"
                                        <lcforten@gapac.com>, "Mellonie
                                        Fleming" < MSFlemin@GAPAC.com>,
                                        "Michael Davıs"
                                        <JMDAVIS@GAPAC.com>, "Montney,
                                        Paul" <pamontne@gapac.com>,
                                        "Smith, Gail A."
                                        <GASMITH@GAPAC.com>, "Steven
                                        <steven.cook@equistarchem.com>,
                                        "Tapp, Mark"
                                        <Mark.Tapp@Lyondell.com>
                                                                   Subject
                                        RE: tsca determination
> Attached please find the final figure.
                                           Paul I believe this contains all
> of the information you will need.
> Stephen Garbaciak Jr.
> P.E.- IL, MI, NY, WI
> Principal Engineer/Vice President
> ARCADIS BBL
> ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
> 30 W. Monroe St., Suite 1710
> Chicago, IL 60448-2404
> Phone: 312-332-4937, ext. 12
> Fax: 312-332-4434
> Mobile: 708-203-0566
> Home Office: 708-478-5362
> steve.garbaciak@arcadis-us.com
```

```
>
> ----Original Message----
> From: Paul Bucholtz [mailto:bucholtp@michigan.gov]
> Sent: Monday, May 07, 2007 2:36 PM
> To: Garbaciak, Steve; kingtw@cdm.com; Borries.Samuel@epamail.epa.gov;
> Saric.James@epamail.epa.gov; Goeks.Todd@mintra.epa.gov
> Subject: Re: tsca determination
> Steve,
> Will it be possible for you to send out the GIS layers with this new map
> as well. I was just hoping to get something more than the PDF for
> review.
> Thanks
> Paul Bucholtz
> Environmental Quality Analyst
> Remediation and Redevelopment Division
> 517-373-8174
>>>> <Borries.Samuel@epamail.epa.gov> 5/7/2007 2:36 PM >>>
> Steve,
> As of this email Jim Hahnenberg has not located a reference to the
> determination method for Phase 2 of OU2-OU5 on the Fox River.
> He indicated to me that Phase 2 of OU2-OU5 will be done in a similar
> manner as the current OU1.
> He also indicated that the current Phase 1 of OU2-OU5 is not acceptable
> to apply to Phase 2 becaues the EPA and DNR want to use the OU1 method
> for Phase 2. The OU1 method is the fax I sent last week.
> Questions we need to answer: (there may be more questions so feel free
> to add questions we need to answer today)
> When there are no results for a sample interval in the 30" dredge cut
> how do we address it?
       1) average existing core data and apply to the entire 30"?
       2) if existing short core indicates non-tsca before we get to 30"
> but the average 30" sample indicates tsca do we just dredge the known
> tsca
              material?
       3) assign value to unsampled interval then average the whole 30"?
>
> What happens with duplicate samples?
       1) average the original and duplicate?
       2) use the higher value?
>
       3) use the lower value? (least preferred from the EPA)
> need to go to an interview. Talk to you at 2:00 pm.
> Sam.
>
>
>
>
>
```

> NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property
> of ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. All rights, including without
> limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information
> contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is
> intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of
> this e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that
> you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution
> or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly
> prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the
> sender immediately and delete the original message and any files
> transmitted. The unauthorized use of this e-mail or any files
> transmitted with it is prohibited and disclaimed by ARCADIS U.S., Inc.
> and its affiliates. (See attached file: PWell Soil and Sed Polygons final
> w table.pdf)

Plnwl_sed_soil_thiesn_denote_TSCA pdf fmr_impnd_soil_plnwl_spf xls